



## **PROPOSAL EVALUATION RUBRIC**

Evaluation Criteria	Description	Score Range	Guidance / Notes
1.Relevance to Grant Objectives	How well the proposal addresses the grant theme and objectives: local environmental challenges, climate change, biodiversity, and nature-based STEM solutions.	0-20	Clear articulation of environmental issues and innovative, nature-positive solutions expected.
2.Engagement of Students and Stakeholders	Degree and quality of student involvement in data collection, biodiversity surveys, and community participation.	0-20	Inclusion of hands-on activities, participatory methods, and involvement of ASPnet/UNESCO/Nature Clubs.
3.Innovation and Creativity	Novelty and creativity in proposed solutions, approaches, and project design.	0-25	Use of innovative methods or ideas, STEM approaches, and nature-based solutions.
4.Expected Outcomes and Impact	Clarity and significance of expected results, potential environmental and educational impact.	0-25	Specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) outcomes.
5.Budget Appropriateness	Budget is realistic, justified, and does not exceed the Nu. 30,000 limit.	0-10	Budget matches proposed activities and objectives; no excessive or unclear expenses
TOTAL SCORE		100	



## **Scoring Guidelines:**

- 85–100: Excellent proposal, highly recommended for funding
- 70–84: Good proposal, recommended with minor clarifications
- 50–69: Fair proposal, may need substantial improvements
- <50: Not recommended for funding